

**PUBLIC GRIEVANCES COMMISSION**  
(Govt. of National Capital Territory of Delhi)  
**APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

[Under Section 7, Delhi Right to Information Act, 2001]

**Appeal No. 377/2018/PGC/DRTI/North DMC dated 12.10.2018**

**Date of Hearing :** 24.4.2019

**Appellant :** Sh. Sunil Kumar Sharma

**Competent Authority :** Dy. Commissioner (City/SP Zone)  
North DMC

**Application under DRTI :** 24.8.2018.

**1 Background :**

The appellant vide DRTI application dated 24.8.2018 has sought information on total 03 points. Aggrieved by non-receipt of information from the Competent Authority, he filed this appeal.

**2 Proceedings**

The appellant is not present. Sh. Rakesh Yadav, JE (Bldg.), CSP Zone is present on behalf of the department. The departmental representative has submitted a letter dated 23.4.2019, vide which point-wise reply to the queries of the appellant have been provided.

The reply has been perused and it appears that the department has provided satisfactory information to all the queries of the appellant. Further, in response to query no. 3 the department has informed that the appellant may inspect the relevant records, since the information sought is voluminous.

**3 Decision**

The Competent Authority viz. Dy. Commissioner City/SP Zone, North DMC has provided satisfactory information to the queries of the appellant. However, the appellant shall be accorded inspection of documents, as volunteered by the department in query no. 03. The date and time fixed for inspection of the records shall be intimated to the appellant well in advance. Thereafter photocopies of documents identified by the appellant shall be provided to him on payment of fee as prescribed under DRTI Rules 2001.

Since the appellant is not present in the hearing today, it is directed that the Competent Authority shall ensure that a copy of the reply of the department dt. 23.4.2019 is sent to the appellant within 03 days of receipt of this order.

Though it is not a part of present DRTI application, however from the information provided by the department it is seen that in several instances demolition orders have been passed but the demolition has not been carried out. The Dy. Commissioner, C-SP Zone is advised to carry out further action as per DMC Act 1957, so that the booking action does not remain on paper only.

In few instances, it has been mentioned that the ATMCD has granted stay against the demolition orders issued by the department. It is advised that the directions of Monitoring Committee/STF should be communicated to the Hon'ble ATMCD and steps must be taken to get the stay vacated in view of the fact that these complaints/references were received from Monitoring Committee/STF.

With the above direction, the present appeal case is ordered to be disposed of in the Commission.

-

**(ASHOK KUMAR)**  
Chairman,  
Public Grievances Commission

Appeal No. 377/2018/PGC/

Dated:

Copy to:-

- 1 Sh.A Gopi Krishna, Dy. Commissioner, City/SP Zone. North DMC, Ist floor, Old Hindu College Building, Nigam Bhawan, Kashmere Gate, Delhi-110 006.
- 2 Sh. Sunil Kumar Sharma